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A function $f$ is defined by bounded recursion in such a way:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{f}(\vec{x}, 0)=g(\vec{x}) \\
\bar{f}(\vec{x}, i+1)=h(\vec{x}, \bar{f}(\vec{x}, i), i) \\
\bar{f}(\vec{x}, y) \leq \beta(\vec{x}, y) \\
f(\vec{x})=\bar{f}(\vec{x}, \lambda(\vec{x}))
\end{array}\right.
$$

The log-shortened counting function $f_{R}^{l s}(\vec{x})=\operatorname{Card}\left\{i<l h_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) ; R(\vec{x}, i)\right\}$ is so defined with $g(\vec{x})=0, h(\vec{x}, z, i)=z+\chi_{R}(\vec{x}, i), \beta(\vec{x}, y)=x_{0}$ and $\lambda(\vec{x})=l h_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the length of the binary expansion of $x_{0}$ (so that $\left.l h_{2}(0)=1\right)$.

A major open question is the following: suppose that the graphs of $g$ and $h$ are $\Delta_{0}$-definable, and $\beta(\vec{x}, y)$ is a polynomial and $\lambda(\vec{x})=x_{0}$. Is the graph of $f \Delta_{0}$-definable?
A few partial results are available (see [2]). The following one is provable by use of standard coding of the history of the recursive computation:
Theorem. (Woods 1981). Suppose that the relation $R$ is $\Delta_{0}$-definable. The graph of $f_{R}^{l s}$ is $\Delta_{0}$ definable.
It has some corollaries concerning sums $\sum_{i=0}^{i=l h_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)} \varphi(\vec{x}, i)$ or products $\prod_{i=0}^{i=l h_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)} \varphi(\vec{x}, i)$ which are $\Delta_{0^{-}}$ definable under reasonnable assumptions (see [1], [3], [4]).

Here we extend this result to families of functions recursively defined with transition functions $h$ that are $\Delta_{0}$-piecewise linear and $\lambda(\vec{x})=l h_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$. This study leads to focus on recursion where the index $i$ appears through the corresponding binary digit $(\bar{x})_{i}$ of one control parameter $x$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{F}(\vec{u}, 0)=g(\vec{u}) \\
\bar{F}(\vec{u}, x, i+1)=H\left(\vec{u},(\bar{x})_{i}, \bar{F}(\vec{u}, x, i)\right) \\
F(\vec{u}, x, y)=\bar{F}\left(\vec{u}, x, l h_{2}(y)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$
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