

# MULTI-ML: PROGRAMMING MULTI-BSP ALGORITHMS IN ML Victor Allombert, Frédéric Gava, Julien Tesson

LACL, Université Paris Est



#### The BSP Model

In the BSP model [1], a computer is a set of  $\mathbf{p}$  uniform processor-memory pairs and a communication network. program is executed as a sequence of *super-steps* A BSP (Fig. 1), each one divided into three successive disjoint phases:



2) The network delivers the requested data;



## **BSP** Programming in ML : **BSML**

BSML [3] uses a *small set of primitives* and is currently implemented as a library for the ML programming language OCAML. A BSML program is built as a ML one but using a specific data structure called *parallel vector*. Its ML type is 'a par. A vector expresses that each of the **p** processors *embeds* a value of any type 'a. The BSML primitives are summarized in Fig. 6 :

Primitive	Level	Type	Informal semantics
≪e≫	g	'a <b>par</b> (if e:'a)	$\langle e, \ldots, e \rangle$
pid	g	int <b>par</b>	A predefined vector: $i$ on processor $i$
\$v\$	1	'a (if v: 'a <b>par</b> )	$\mathbf{v}_i$ on processor $i$ , assumes $\mathbf{v} \equiv \langle \mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}-1} \rangle$
proj	g	'a $\mathbf{par} \rightarrow (int \rightarrow 'a)$	$\langle x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1} \rangle \mapsto (\mathbf{fun} \ i \to x_i)$
put	g	$(int ightarrow'a)\mathbf{par} ightarrow(int ightarrow'a)\mathbf{par}$	$\langle f_0, \ldots, f_{\mathbf{p}-1} \rangle \mapsto \langle (\mathbf{fun} \ i \to f_i \ 0), \ldots, (\mathbf{fun} \ i \to f_i \ (\mathbf{p}-1)) \rangle$

Figure 6: The BSML primitives

A global synchronisation (3)barrier occurs, making the transferred data available for the next super-step.

next super-step

Figure 1: A BSP super-step

#### The Multi-BSP Model

The MULTI-BSP model [2] is another *bridging model* as the original BSP, but adapted to *clusters of multicores*. The MULTI-BSP model introduces a vision where a *hierarchical architecture* is a *tree* structure of *nested components* (*sub-machines*) where the lowest stage (*leaf*) are processors and every other stage (node) contains memory. A node executes some codes on its nested components (*aka* "*children*"), then waits for results, do the communication and synchronised the sub-machine.



An example of a parallel vector construction using the BSML toplevel : **#let** vec =  $\ll$  "GDR" $\gg$  in  $\ll$  \$vec\$^", proc\_"(string\_of\_int \$pid\$)  $\gg$  ;; **val** vec : string  $\mathbf{par} = \langle \mathsf{"GDR}, \mathsf{proc}, \mathsf{"GDR}, \mathsf{proc}, \mathsf{"GDR}, \mathsf{proc}, \mathsf{mar}, \mathsf{"GDR}, \mathsf{proc}, \mathsf{mar}, \mathsf{mar}$ 

### The Multi-ML language

MULTI-ML is based on the idea of executing a BSML-like code on every stage of the MULTI-BSP architecture, that is on every sub-machine. For this, we add a *specific syntax* to ML in order to code special functions, called *multi-functions*, that recursively go through the MULTI-BSP tree. At each stage, a multi-function allows the execution of any BSML code. The main idea of MULTI-ML is to structure parallel codes to control all the stage of a tree: we generate the parallelism by allowing a node to call recursively a code on each of its sub-machines (children). When leaves are reached, they will execute their own codes and produce values, accessible by the top node using a



#### Figure 7: Code propagation

vector. The data are distributed on the stages (toward leaves) and results are gathered on nodes toward the root node as shown in Fig. 7. Let us consider a code where, on a node,  $\ll e \gg$  is executed. As shown in Fig. 8, the node creates a vector containing, for each sub-machine i, the expression  $\mathbf{e}$ . As the code is run asynchronously, the execution of the node code will continue until reaching a barrier.



For a multicore architecture it is possible to distinguish all the level thanks to MULTI-BSP (Fig. 2). On the contrary, the BSP model (Fig. 3) flattens the architecture.

### Benchmarks

Fig. 4 shows the results of our experimentations. We can see that the efficiency on small list is poor but as the list grows, MULTI-ML exceeds BSML. This difference is due to the fact that BSML communicates through the network at every super steps; while MULTI-ML focusing on communications through local memories and finally communicates through the distributed level.

	100_0	0C	1_000_000		3_000_000	
	MULTI-ML	BSML	MULTI-ML	BSML	MULTI-ML	BSML
8	0.7	1.8	125.3	430.7	•••	• • •
16	0.5	0.8	68.1	331.5	1200.0	• • •
32	0.3	0.5	11.3	122.2	173.2	• • •
48	0.5	0.4	5.5	88.4	69.3	• • •
64	0.3	0.3	4.1	56.1	51.1	749.9
96	0.3	0.38	3.9	30.8	38.1	576.1
128	0.5	0.45	4.7	24.3	30.6	443.7

Fig. 9 shows the MULTI-ML primitives (without recall the BSML ones); their authorised level of execution and their informal semantics.



Figure 8: Data distribution

Primitive	Level	Type	Informal semantics	
§e§	m	'a tree	Build $\wr e \wr$ , a tree of <b>e</b>	
\$t\$	S	'a	In a §e§ code, $t_n$ on node/leaf $n$ of the tree $t$	
v (if v: 'a tree)	e) b 'a $v_n$ on node $n$ of tree $v_i$ ,			
\$v\$	1	'a	In the <i>i</i> th component of a vector, $\mathbf{v}_{n,i}$ on node/leaf $n$ of the tree $v \in v$	
gid	m	id	The predefined tree of nodes and leaves ids	
≪f≫	$ \gg 1$ is a line a component of a vector, recursive call of the multi-function			
#x#	1	'a	In a component of a vector, reading the value $\mathbf{x}$ at upper stage (id)	
mkpar f	b	'a <b>par</b>	$\langle v_0, \ldots, v_{\mathbf{p}_n} \rangle$ , where $\forall i, \mathbf{f} \mathbf{i} = v_i$ , at id <i>n</i> of the tree	
finally $v_1 v_2$	b,s	'a	Return value $\mathbf{v}_1$ to upper stage (id) and keep $\mathbf{v}_2$ in the tree	
this	b,l,s	'a option	Current value of the tree if exists, <b>None</b> otherwise	

#### Figure 9: The MULTI-ML primitives

An example of a tree construction using the MULTI-ML toplevel :

<b>#let multi</b> f n =	#f 0
where node =	o " $0 \rightarrow 0$ "
let _= $\ll$ f ( $pid$ + $\#$ n $\#$ + 1) $\gg$ in	
finally $\sim up:() \sim keep:(gid^" = >"^n)$	o " $0.0  ightarrow 1$ "
where leaf=finally ~up:() ~keep:(gid^"=>"^n);;	$\rightarrow$ "0.0.0 $\rightarrow$ 2"
<b>val</b> f : int $\rightarrow$ string <b>tree</b> = $<$ multi-fun $>$	ightarrow "0.0.1 $ ightarrow$ 3"
	o " $0.1 \rightarrow 2$ "
	$ \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \text{"0.1.0} \rightarrow 3" \\ \rightarrow \text{"0.1.1} \rightarrow 4" \end{array} $

Figure 4: Execution time of Eratosthenes (naive) using MULTI-ML and BSML. Fig. 5 gives the computation time of the simple scan using a summing operator. We can see that MULTI-ML introduce a small overhead due to the level management; however it is as efficient as BSML and concord to the estimated execution times. 5 000 000

	MULTI-ML	BSML	Pred_MULTI-ML	Pred_BSML
8	2.91	2.8	3.44	1.83
16	1.42	1.4	1.72	0.92
32	0.92	0.73	0.43	0.46
48	0.84	0.75	0.28	0.31
64	0.83	0.74	0.21	0.23

Figure 5: Execution time and predictions of scan (sum of integers)

#### References

[1] L. G. Valiant. "A Bridging Model for Parallel Computation". In: Comm. of the ACM 33.8 (1990), pp. 103–111. [2] L. G. Valiant. "A bridging model for multi-core computing". In: J. Comput. Syst. Sci. 77.1 (2011), pp. 154–166. [3] Louis Gesbert et al. "Bulk Synchronous Parallel ML with Exceptions". In: Future Generation Computer Systems 26 (2010), pp. 486–490.