

De KAOS à RBAC : conception de règles de contrôle d'accès à partir d'une analyse des besoins - une étude de cas

From KAOS to RBAC:

a case study in designing access control rules from a requirements analysis

Yves Ledru, Jean-Luc Richier, Akram Idani, Mohamed Amine Labiadh

Université Grenoble Alpes/ Grenoble INP /CNRS



Laboratoire d'Informatique de Grenoble

6th conf. On Network Architectures and Information Systems Security, La Rochelle, May 2011 This work is sponsored by the ANR Selkis Project (ANR-08-SEGI-018) and MODMED Project (ANR-15-CE25-0010)



- Elicit requirements for a security policy
- Design a set of access control rules enforcing the policy.
- Our starting point: a set of UML diagrams specifying the functional aspects of the Information System (IS)
 - UML class diagrams
 - UML use cases





 Information system for an urgency medical help service (SAMU)







 Developed by IFREMMONT, a french association for e-medecine.



Functional model : 77 classes, 100 use cases developed before this study.



- Access to the information system must be restricted to authorized personal
- The authorized personal are numerous and evolve over the life-time of the information system => need for a role-based approach
- Medical data
 - Are confidential
 - Must be available to the rescue teams
 - Must be protected against unauthorized modifications (integrity)

The proposed methodology

- Builds on the existing functional model (UML diagrams)
- Gathers functional and non-functional requirements in a goal hierarchy (expressed in KAOS)
- Targets an access control policy (expressed in SecureUML)
- Follows the steps of Haley et al:
 - Identify functional requirements 1.
 - Identify security goals 2.
 - Identify security requirements 3.
 - Construct satisfaction arguments 4.

C. B. Haley, R. C. Laney, J. D. Moffett, and B. Nuseibeh, "Security requirements engineering: A framework for representation and analysis," IEEE Trans. Software Eng., vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 133–153, 2008.



- 0. (Build a functional model)
- 1. Construct agent hierarchy
- 2. Identify relevant use cases
- 3. Construct a functional goal hierarchy
- 4. Identify security goals
- 5. Refine into security requirements
- 6. Design RBAC rules
- 7. Check satisfiability of functional goals

Vasco 0. Functional model

- Security target : ManagementAct
- Management acts are all kinds of medical acts (diagnosis, advice, prescription, instruction, care,...)
- Each medical act is linked to a single patient
- Prehospital actors are the medical personal who perform





- KAOS associates goals to agents responsible for these goals
- Agents were identified during long discussions, based on the presentation of the functional model by the IFREMMONT domain experts
- KAOS agents are candidates for RBAC roles.

1. Construct an agent hierarchy (2)

- The agent hierarchy distinguishes between
 - Doctors vs non doctors
 - Mobile team members, call center members and administrative personal





- Keep only the use cases relevant to management acts (28 out of 100)
- For example, the following use cases detail remote and local management of a patient
- In both cases, acts must be validated!





Concrete goals are linked to agents (human or software)



- Security goals identified by reviewing ACIT properties (availability, confidentiality, integrity, traceability)
- This results in two goal hierarchies (functional or not)





 Find all functional and non-functional goals linked to Management acts









 Access control rules are expressed in the SecureUML syntax





- For each functional goal, check that there are sufficient permissions to authorize it!
- For example, « Prescription or Instruction Issued » requires that the regulator
 - Is allowed to create a management act of subclasses prescription and instruction (OK due to AccessRight1)
 - Has read access to all other management acts related to the patient associated to the prescription or instruction (to avoid interference with other current management acts) (OK due to ManagementActPerm1)

[Prescription or instruction issued]

Vasco with Haley et al

- Haley et al
 - 4 steps
 - Based on Jackson's problem frames

 A formal verification process based on causal logic

Our KAOS2RBAC approach

- 8 steps covering the 4 steps of Haley et al
- Based on KAOS : a richer framework with goals linked to agents, data, permission rules
- Our KAOS diagrams allow traceability between security goals and access control rules
- Our verification step remains rather informal



- An approach to design access control rules from security goals
- Applied on a real world case study, using an existing functional model
- Provides traceability from goals to rules
- Perspectives
 - KAOS suggests the systematic identification of « obstacles » to the most concrete goals to make the model stronger
 - This identification could benefit from a risk analysis based on a list of standard attacks.



Questions?

Photo Credits:

<u>http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Vasco_da_Gama_Bridge_03.JPG</u> <u>http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Sala_de_Regulacion_del_Samu_de_Paris.jpg?uselang=fr</u> <u>http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Logo_Samu.gif?uselang=fr</u> <u>http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:H%C3%B4pital_d%27Orl%C3%A9ans-la-Source_SAMU_1.jpg?uselang=fr</u>